

Gaucher Disease: Current Issues in Diagnosis and Treatment

National Institutes of Health
Technology Assessment Conference Statement

February 27–March 1, 1995

About The NIH Technology Assessment Program

NIH Technology Assessment Conferences and Workshops are convened to evaluate available scientific information related to a biomedical technology. The resultant NIH Technology Assessment Statements and published reports are intended to advance understanding of the technology or issue in question and to be useful to health professionals and the public.

Some Technology Assessment Conferences and Workshops adhere to the NIH Consensus Development Conference (CDC) format because the process is altogether appropriate for evaluating highly controversial, publicized, or politicized issues. In the CDC format, NIH Technology Assessment Statements are prepared by a nonadvocate, non-Federal panel of experts, based on (1) presentations by investigators working in areas relevant to the consensus questions during a 1 1/2-day public session, (2) questions and statements from conference attendees during open discussion periods that are part of the public session, and (3) closed deliberations by the panel during the remainder of the second day and morning of the third. Each statement is an independent report of the panel and is not a policy statement of the NIH or the Federal Government.

Other Technology Assessment Conferences and Workshops are organized around unique formats. Usually, speakers present findings or perspectives on the issue. Policy implications may be discussed. The public is invited to address questions to the speakers. A report of the findings can emerge in one of a variety of formats including publication in a clinical or scientific journal.

Reference Information

For making bibliographic reference to this technology assessment statement, it is recommended that the following format be used, with or without source abbreviations, but without authorship attribution:

Gaucher Disease: Current Issues in Diagnosis and Treatment.
NIH Technol Assess Statement 1995 Feb 27-Mar 1; 1-27.

Gaucher Disease: Current Issues in Diagnosis and Treatment

National Institutes of Health
Technology Assessment Conference Statement

February 27–March 1, 1995

Abstract

Objective. To provide physicians with a responsible assessment of the diagnosis and treatment of Gaucher disease.

Participants. A non-Federal, nonadvocate, 14-member panel representing the fields of pediatrics, obstetrics and gynecology, genetics, endocrinology, molecular biology, internal medicine, and biostatistics. In addition, 30 experts in genetics, pediatrics, neurology, obstetrics and gynecology, orthopedics, hematology, genetic counseling, clinical pathology, and epidemiology presented data to the panel and a conference audience of 230.

Evidence. The literature was searched through Medline and an extensive bibliography of references was provided to the panel and the conference audience. Experts prepared abstracts with relevant citations from the literature. Scientific evidence was given precedence over clinical anecdotal experience.

Assessment Process. The panel, answering predefined questions, developed their conclusions based on the scientific evidence presented in open forum and the scientific literature. The panel composed a draft statement that was read in its entirety and circulated to the experts and the audience for comment. Thereafter, the panel resolved conflicting recommendations and released a revised statement at the end of the conference. The panel finalized the revisions within a few weeks after the conference.

Conclusions. The success of enzyme replacement therapy for Gaucher disease is a credit to the investigators, the National Institutes of Health, the pharmaceutical manufacturer, and the many patients and their families. Despite the success of enzyme therapy, treatment is limited by the cost of the agent. The cost of the treatment makes it imperative to determine the lowest effective initial and maintenance dosages, to define the appropriate clinical indications for treatment, and to establish uniform methods so as to optimize outcome assessment. The value of treatment for asymptomatic individuals has not been determined. General population screening for affected individuals and for carriers is not appropriate at this time. As a prototype for all rare diseases, the plight of the patients with Gaucher disease raises difficult financial and ethical issues, which as a society must address.

Introduction

Gaucher disease is a rare inherited enzyme deficiency, which researchers estimate may be present in 10,000–20,000 Americans. It is a panethnic disorder, with highest prevalence in the Ashkenazi Jewish population.

During the past decade, much progress has been made in understanding the molecular biology of the disease and in the ability to treat patients with the disorder. However, many issues regarding diagnosis, population screening, and therapy for patients with Gaucher disease are controversial.

Gaucher disease is characterized by a remarkable degree of variability in its clinical signs and symptoms, ranging from severely affected infants to asymptomatic adults. Many patients suffer from anemia, bone damage, and enlarged livers and spleens; a few develop severe central nervous system damage. Gaucher disease is a potentially lethal disorder. All patients with Gaucher disease have a genetic defect in the enzyme glucocerebrosidase, which results in the accumulation of the lipid glucocerebroside within intracellular structures known as lysosomes.

Patients with Gaucher disease have been classified into three major types on the basis of clinical signs and symptoms: type 1, non-neuronopathic (adult); type 2, acute neuronopathic (infantile); and type 3, subacute neuronopathic (juvenile). All types of Gaucher disease can be diagnosed by demonstrating a deficiency of glucocerebrosidase activity.

The most striking differences among the three types are the presence or absence of neurologic manifestations and the rate of their progression. However, people with the same type of the disorder may differ in their clinical presentation. For example, certain patients with type 1 Gaucher disease, which is by far the most common type, may display some combination of anemia, low blood platelet levels, massively enlarged livers and spleens, and extensive skeletal disease. In contrast, other type 1 patients may have no symptoms and can be identified only by screening or during evaluation for other diseases.

The gene for glucocerebrosidase, which is located on chromosome 1q21, has been characterized and sequenced. Many mutations in the glucocerebrosidase gene have been identified in DNA from different patients; several of these mutations are frequent. Although some patients with the same DNA mutations have similar clinical courses, other patients with the same mutations have very different clinical manifestations. It is still not clear to

what extent a person's clinical features (phenotype) or prognosis can be accurately predicted through current mutation analysis. Furthermore, although the molecular techniques can be used for early prenatal diagnosis, detection of individuals carrying the disease gene, and population screening, the appropriate clinical application of these molecular techniques remains unresolved.

Gaucher disease has been traditionally managed by supportive therapy including total or partial removal of the spleen, blood transfusions, orthopedic procedures, and occasionally bone marrow transplantation. More recently, enzyme replacement therapy has become available and has proven effective in many patients. Enzyme replacement therapy has successfully reversed many of the manifestations of the disorder, including abnormal blood counts, increased liver and spleen size, and some skeletal abnormalities. The therapy is very costly, however, ranging from \$100,000 to \$400,000 annually for each patient.

The purpose of this Technology Assessment Conference was to evaluate current concepts concerning diagnosis, screening, genetic counseling, and management of Gaucher disease. In this effort, the National Institute of Mental Health, together with the Office of Medical Applications of Research of the National Institutes of Health, convened a Technology Assessment Conference, Gaucher Disease: Current Issues in Diagnosis and Treatment. The conference was cosponsored by the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, the National Institute of Neurological Diseases and Stroke, the National Center for Research Resources, the National Center for Human Genome Research, and the Office of Rare Disease Research.

Following 1 1/2 days of presentations by experts in the relevant fields and discussions with the audience, an independent panel composed of specialists and generalists from the medical and other related scientific disciplines, as well as a public representative, considered the evidence and formulated a consensus statement in response to the following six previously stated questions:

- What is the natural history of Gaucher disease, and what is the appropriate technology to assess the severity and to predict the progression of this disorder?
- What are the roles of current molecular and enzymatic assays for ascertaining affected individuals and carriers in various populations?
- What are the indications for treatment of patients with Gaucher disease, and what are the appropriate modes of therapy?
- What are the goals for and consequences of treatment, and how can the therapeutic interventions be assessed?
- Under what circumstances could genotype/phenotype correlations be used for patient care and counseling?
- What are appropriate directions for future research and other relevant issues that should be pursued?

Question 1: *What Is the Natural History of Gaucher Disease, and What Is the Appropriate Technology To Assess the Severity and To Predict the Progression of This Disorder?*

The natural history of Gaucher disease is incompletely documented. The progression and outcome are well understood only in type 2 disease (infantile form). Type 3 disease (juvenile form) has a more variable course. The type 1 (adult) form is most common, especially variable, and least well characterized. Furthermore, splenectomy, orthopedic intervention, and enzyme replacement therapy for type 1 Gaucher disease alter its course, natural progression, and outcome. Thus, it is important to standardize the reporting of the effects of these interventions.

Type 1 disease typically presents after infancy and often not until adult life. Indeed, some genotypically affected individuals may never come to medical attention, and their number is unknown. With DNA analysis of family members, many such individuals will be diagnosed. Current technologies may unmask and identify organ-specific manifestations in these asymptomatic individuals. Simple hematologic and biochemical assays and imaging techniques can be used to assess disease progression. Skeletal disease is especially difficult to assess. Mutation analysis provides precise diagnosis but may not give information concerning the severity or progression of the disease. In addition, there are considerable differences in the degree to which organ systems are affected. Furthermore, there are reports of intrafamilial variation. Differences in disease severity have been demonstrated even in identical twins. Thus, other genetic and nongenetic factors appear to be involved in the expression of the disease.

Prenatal diagnosis now affords an opportunity to assess the natural progression of the disease from before birth. Such information may be critical in choosing appropriate technologies for prognosis and therapy. Appropriate systematic and quantitative description of the disease is essential to understand its natural course. Patient characterization requires clarification of the terminology used to describe patients, which at this time is confused (e.g., “asymptomatic” versus “asymptomatic but with physical signs and laboratory evidence of disease”).

Question 2: *What Are the Roles of Current Molecular and Enzymatic Assays for Ascertaining Affected Individuals and Carriers in Various Populations?*

Enzyme analysis of leukocyte or fibroblast extracts is appropriate to confirm or exclude the diagnosis of Gaucher disease. Several methodologies for enzymatic diagnosis are currently available and are reliable in experienced hands. No consensus has yet been reached on a single most appropriate method, which makes it essential that each laboratory have rigid internal quality assurance and quality control of the method it uses.

The prognosis for patients with type 1 disease cannot be predicted from the residual enzyme activity measured in tissues. Enzymatic analysis can not be used to detect carriers reliably.

Analysis of DNA for mutations by molecular methods (genotyping) is appropriate in all individuals with glucocerebrosidase deficiency. Genotyping of siblings and parents of affected individuals is important to ascertain other potentially affected individuals who may be asymptomatic and to identify carriers for genetic counseling. Enzyme analysis of parents of affected individuals is also valuable to exclude the possibility of asymptomatic glucocerebrosidase deficiency in a parent with two mutant alleles, only one of which was identified by genotyping. Although current genotype/phenotype correlations are imperfect, genotyping may indicate that neurologic complications are unlikely. It has less value in predicting the likelihood of other complications.

Molecular methods can provide accurate carrier detection, particularly in defined populations. For example, in the Ashkenazi Jewish population, screening for five mutations allows detection of approximately 95 percent of heterozygous individuals. The greater variety of mutations in non-Jewish populations makes carrier detection in these populations more challenging with currently available technology. Analysis of some mutations by DNA amplification can be complicated by the presence of a highly homologous pseudogene that is located nearby. Quality control of the molecular techniques is important, as is awareness of the complexities in interpreting data produced by these amplification methods.

Widespread application of genetic screening to detect either presymptomatic patients with Gaucher disease or heterozygous carriers is not appropriate at this time. The medical value of presymptomatic diagnosis of patients with Gaucher disease and carrier testing has not been established. For this reason, pilot studies examining the potential benefits and/or harms of such screening programs should be encouraged. Ideally, the target community should be involved in the implementation and evaluation of such pilot studies.

Question 3: *What Are the Indications for Treatment of Patients With Gaucher Disease, and What Are the Appropriate Modes of Therapy?*

The clinical features of type 1 glucocerebrosidase deficiency are highly variable, ranging from serious multisystem involvement to the absence of signs or symptoms. In addition, the age of onset of clinical features in those who develop symptoms is variable. This degree of variability raises several important issues that must be considered before initiating treatment. First, the characteristic signs of the disorder, which include anemia, thrombocytopenia without bleeding, hepatosplenomegaly without pain or discomfort, and radiologic changes without evidence of fractures or bone pain, must be differentiated from the symptoms of the disorder, such as bleeding, somatic pain, bone crises, and fractures. Second, knowledge is inadequate on the effect of treatment for patients who display signs but no symptoms of the disease. There is a reasonable consensus to treat those who exhibit symptoms; however, no agreement exists on the clinical criteria for initiating treatment. No consistent guidelines are available at this time because of the lack of sufficient information about the natural history of the disease.

In addition, a group of individuals, of unknown number, have the enzyme deficiency but have not developed signs or symptoms. Because we cannot predict whether these individuals will ever become symptomatic, the appropriateness of prophylactic therapy has not been determined.

A systematic evaluation of enzyme-deficient individuals to define the natural history of the disease is lacking. For symptomatic patients, there should be sufficient extant data, given the number of patients who have already been identified, treated, and extensively followed. For asymptomatic individuals, it is necessary to develop protocols for longitudinal evaluation.

Conservative therapy has a role in Gaucher disease, such as hydration, analgesics, and narcotics for pain in bone crises and orthopedic surgical intervention for fractures. The use of vitamin D, calcium, and bisphosphonate in bone crises and for bone growth requires further study.

Although bone marrow transplantation is an effective form of therapy, the risk of mortality and morbidity makes this mode of treatment less desirable.

In type 1 disease, there is good evidence that enzyme replacement therapy with mannose-terminated placental or recombinant glucocerebrosidase

is beneficial in reducing hepatosplenomegaly, improving hematologic parameters, and, to a lesser extent, in alleviating bone disease. Enzyme therapy appears to obviate the need for splenectomy in most cases.

Several patients with type 2 disease are reported to have been treated with enzyme replacement therapy, and there was no substantial improvement in their neurologic problems. With current technology, enzyme replacement therapy is unlikely to prove efficacious for patients with type 2 disease. The efficacy of enzyme replacement for neurologic abnormalities in type 3 disease remains to be established.

For individuals with type 1 disease, controversies continue over aspects of enzyme replacement therapy, such as dosage, methods and frequency of administration of the enzyme, and cost. The most contentious issue, and potentially the most difficult for patients and their physicians, is enzyme dosage. Clinical successes have been observed with both the "high" and "low" dosage regimens (described as amount of enzyme administered during a 4-week interval for purposes of comparison, independent of dosage schedule): the 120 U/kg/4 weeks and the 30 U/kg/4 weeks, respectively. Inadequate clinical responses were also reported for all dosage regimens tested. The debate about dosage is complicated by the failure to compare data adequately and by the diversity of protocols. Review of the data indicates two salient points. First, patients vary considerably and unpredictably in their responses. Second, many patients do well on lower dosage regimens. The use of low-dose regimens for such patients would markedly reduce costs. Debates focusing on minimal differences in degrees and rate of improvement have detracted from the appreciation of the treatment's value.

Current studies are evaluating regimens with dosages even lower than 30 U/kg/4 weeks. The patients in these studies may respond well, but some respond more slowly. Initial and maintenance therapy should be directed at achieving sustained benefit with the lowest possible dosage. The choice of dosage and frequency of enzyme administration will have to be adjusted individually while each patient's progress is monitored. Response may be slow regardless of dosage.

Given the limited number of patients, the treatment strategies, including criteria for intake, dosage, and periodic reevaluation, should

be standardized to ensure that data from multiple centers can be pooled to evaluate the proposed treatment regimens. The resolution of these treatment issues can be addressed best through carefully designed, cooperative, clinical trials. The questions to be answered by such trials will be refined if existing data sets are pooled and analyzed without preconceived constraints. In addition, further studies should include the development of more efficient cellular targeting and uptake of the enzyme. The clinical and ethical ramifications of enzyme therapy and the funding of clinical trials must be considered.

Studies to evaluate alternative forms of enzyme replacement therapy and alternative approaches, such as the use of inhibitors of sphingolipid biosynthesis, should be encouraged. Moreover, Gaucher disease is an excellent candidate for gene therapy, and continued research on this modality, including the use of animals models, is therefore indicated.

Question 4: *What Are the Goals for and Consequences of Treatment, and How Can the Therapeutic Interventions Be Assessed?*

The goals of treatment are the amelioration of the manifestations of Gaucher disease and the overall improvement of the health and quality of life of patients.

Although alglucerase has been shown to ameliorate many of the manifestations of type 1 Gaucher disease, the major current concerns are the proper indications to begin treatment, the most appropriate treatment regimens, and cost. Answering the many questions concerning the management of Gaucher disease will require a cooperative effort of considerable scale. For this cooperative effort to have its intended impact, the organizer of the cooperative effort must be free of real or perceived bias. The National Institutes of Health should take the initiative and foster the establishment of a cooperative group of investigators involved in the diagnosis and treatment of patients with Gaucher disease. Three phases in the operation of the proposed group are (1) establishment of a patient registry, (2) analysis of the existing data on natural history and response to therapy, and (3) design and conduct of clinical trials to address unanswered questions.

It would be advantageous to enter all patients with Gaucher disease into a registry. Such a registry would provide a valuable resource for increasing our knowledge of the natural history of the disease, help to identify predictors of response, and facilitate clinical trials to answer specific questions about therapy.

Clinical trials will be most informative if recruitment numbers are adequate to answer the questions addressed, if individuals are stratified for the most relevant variables (e.g., genotypes or baseline enzyme levels) to ensure comparability of the various subject groups, and if patients are randomized to treatment arms where appropriate.

A high priority for an early clinical trial is comparison of the dosage and frequency of enzyme administration to symptomatic patients. Outcomes to be assessed should include not only hemoglobin concentration, platelet count, spleen and liver size, and bone integrity but also the patients' functional state, convenience, satisfaction, quality of life, impact on the family, and cost.

A second priority for a clinical trial is to assess the need for enzymatic treatment of asymptomatic patients. Such a trial might initially be confined to high-risk, asymptomatic patients to increase the likelihood of observing a preventive effect of treatment.

Question 5: *Under What Circumstances Could Genotype/Phenotype Correlations Be Used for Patient Care and Counseling?*

More than 50 mutations of the glucocerebrosidase gene have been identified. Investigators are using disparate nomenclature for mutations with reference to genomic DNA, cDNA, the exon involved, and the amino acid alteration in the enzyme. For consistency and ease of communication, mutations should be described using both the amino acid sequence and cDNA, when appropriate.

Most investigators have categorized their study populations into Ashkenazi Jewish and non-Jewish cohorts. Four mutations are reported to account for 89–94 percent of type 1 Gaucher alleles in the Ashkenazi Jewish population and 60–75 percent of type 1 Gaucher alleles in non-Jewish populations. However, these studies do not provide an unbiased estimate of the allele frequencies. Therefore, accurate calculations of the number of individuals who carry the disease genotype are not possible.

Concordance between the genotype and phenotype in Gaucher disease is imperfect. Families with multiple affected members having different clinical presentations and families with discordant identical twins further demonstrate the imprecision of genotype/phenotype correlation. However, the following general conclusions can be drawn based on current data.

1. Homozygosity for N370S (I226G) precludes neuronopathic involvement; that is, it produces type 1 disease only. Nevertheless, this genotype is present in individuals with considerable variability in expression, ranging from absence of signs and symptoms, to mild to moderate disease, to, less commonly, severe type 1 Gaucher disease.

2. Compound heterozygotes with one N370S (I226G) allele have non-neuronopathic Gaucher disease (the one exception a child with oculomotor involvement). These individuals generally have more severe type 1 disease than do N370S (I226G) homozygotes.

3. Homozygotes for L444P (I448C) in the Swedish Norrbottnian population generally present with neuronopathic type 3 disease of variable severity. This same genotype in the Japanese population is associated with non-neuronopathic disease, indicating that genotype/phenotype correlations, to the extent that they exist, may vary with genetic background.

The lack of predictability of phenotype from genotype suggests other genetic and/or nongenetic effects on the phenotype. The opportunity exists

to study ethnic isolates, such as the Swedish Norrbottnian and Israeli Jenin Arab populations, each with a single Gaucher genotype and variable expression, to determine the nature of these other genetic and nongenetic factors.

This imperfect agreement between genotype and phenotype limits the ability to establish the prognosis for individual patients and also restricts the usefulness of genotyping for population screening and prenatal diagnosis. The failure of genotype to predict phenotype complicates genetic counseling for newly diagnosed patients and their families, and for prenatal diagnosis.

Testing has been conducted for affected individuals, for carriers, and for prenatal diagnosis. Another reason for genotyping is to estimate carrier and affected frequencies in various populations. Genotyping of anonymous unselected populations is recommended to determine allele frequencies.

The benefits of general population screening for affected individuals are not clear, because treatment of individuals with glucocerebrosidase deficiency who do not have signs or symptoms has not yet been demonstrated to be necessary or efficacious. In addition, the genotype offers limited prognostic information for the individual. Extensive education of health care providers about Gaucher disease should be initiated to ensure accurate and early diagnosis of symptomatic patients.

Carrier screening has most commonly been conducted to provide reproductive counseling and options to couples. This requires (1) a simple, accurate, and relatively inexpensive test to identify most of the carriers (>95 percent sensitivity) with few false positives (high specificity); (2) a disorder of significant clinical severity; (3) a defined population for screening; (4) a test that allows accurate prediction of the clinical course of the disease; (5) a public and professional education program; and (6) informed consent for screening. Although genotyping for Gaucher disease meets the test criteria, genetic counseling can provide only a risk assessment of passing on the gene but not a specific prognosis for future affected children. The uncertainty of disease severity in each affected individual and the lack of public and professional awareness of Gaucher disease argue against carrier screening in the general population at this time. Cultural mores within specific communities should be considered

and may justify carrier screening. Carrier testing for family members of affected individuals is appropriate. In addition, peer-reviewed pilot studies of carrier screening programs may be of value.

Prenatal diagnosis for Gaucher disease is possible and allows couples at risk to make informed reproductive decisions. Because of the considerable variability in disease severity, personal experience with Gaucher disease in a family member will influence the genetic counseling process and ultimate decision. Genetic counseling is confounded by the inability to predict clinical prognosis uniformly, by the heightened anxiety engendered by facing probabilistic information, and by the availability of an encouraging but extremely expensive enzyme replacement therapy. The description of the illness, its manifestations, and its potential response to therapy all influence the decisions made by couples, individuals, and families.

Question 6: *What Are Appropriate Directions for Future Research and Other Relevant Issues That Should Be Pursued?*

Nomenclature must be standardized throughout the field, from the clinical setting to the molecular genetic laboratory. For example, a term as basic as “asymptomatic” is used by workers in the field in very different ways. It is recommended that the term asymptomatic be reserved for individuals who are truly without symptoms. The mutation designation also needs to be standardized for improved ease of communication.

A uniform clinical severity score for type 1 Gaucher disease must be developed and formally validated to permit effective communication regarding the efficacy of treatment. This clinical rating scale should be sensitive to both the signs and the symptoms of the disorder.

A nationwide clinical database should be established that is independent of any corporate entity, particularly those involved in the screening, diagnosis, management, or treatment of Gaucher disease. This will facilitate research to elucidate the natural history of the disease, identify key prognostic factors for disease progression, and determine the influence of various therapies. The database should accumulate extant information and collect missing data on extant patients to permit evaluation of enzyme targeting, uptake efficiency, optimal dosage and schedule, and clinical outcome. In the event of prenatal diagnosis, the neonatal, childhood, adolescent, and adult course of the disease should be carefully documented. Molecular genetic data should be determined and correlated with the clinical information to refine the limits of genotype/phenotype correlations. Such a database will permit the use of mathematical modeling to investigate these questions. The information in the database should be made available to investigators after formal review of their research proposals. Within the database, it should be recognized that multiplex families and identical twins represent unique groups for the study of other factors influencing phenotype.

Nationwide, cooperative, controlled clinical trials should be conducted to establish optimal regimens and to determine their efficacy for asymptomatic individuals with minimal clinical signs. These clinical trials should be free of influence from any commercial entity.

The available technology is not yet appropriate for large-scale, population-based screening for Gaucher disease. However, we recommend that additional pilot projects be supported, independent of corporate influence,

to identify carriers and affected individuals. Examples of potential projects include estimation of the true prevalence of the various mutant alleles in defined or mixed populations by anonymous testing; further evaluation of educational needs within screened populations; and elucidation of the value of enzyme delivery to asymptomatic, enzyme-deficient individuals with varying clinical signs. Laboratories providing screening or diagnostic testing should participate in national quality assurance/quality control programs.

Studies to better understand the basic biochemistry and cell biology of the enzyme are needed. Identifying and developing animal models should be encouraged. They provide opportunities to improve the understanding of the pathogenesis and to test experimental strategies including gene therapy.

Enzyme replacement therapy clearly has improved the health and quality of life of individuals with Gaucher disease. This treatment has been made possible through the efforts of investigators at the National Institutes of Health and other researchers nationally and internationally. The cooperative efforts of government and industry have also proven effective. The contributions of the patients and their families, who have participated so willingly in many therapeutic trials, have been especially important.

The experience with enzyme replacement therapy to date has led to new understanding about the disease and its treatment. At the same time, this experience has raised concerns about the development of costly therapies for disorders of very low prevalence. These concerns include the following:

- Should the rights to exclusive marketing of an orphan drug be coupled with Federal approval of the price charged for the drug, and should uniform accounting practices be required?
- Can such price regulation of orphan drugs be imposed without deterring the development of effective drugs for uncommon diseases?
- How can it be ensured that the price citizens pay for a drug reflects the Federal contribution to its development?
- Should society be informed of corporate and other relationships between entities engaged in screening and the manufacturers of therapeutic agents?

- Should pharmaceutical companies and their representatives have direct access to patients?
- Given the potentially extraordinary costs of treatment, how should the benefits to affected individuals and their families be balanced with the other health care needs of society?
- Regarding costly treatments such as alglucerase, what are the implications for the future health care of patients and their families when insurance becomes exhausted and/or when coverage is provided by managed care systems employing fixed capitation limits?

Conclusions

The success of enzyme replacement therapy for Gaucher disease is a credit to the investigators, the National Institutes of Health, the pharmaceutical manufacturer, and the many patients and their families. Evidence presented at this Technology Assessment Conference leads to the following conclusions:

- Despite the success of enzyme therapy, treatment is limited by the cost of the agent.
- The cost of the treatment makes it imperative to determine the lowest effective initial and maintenance dosages, to define the appropriate clinical indications for treatment, and to establish uniform methods in order to optimize outcome assessment.
- The value of treatment for asymptomatic individuals has not been determined.
- General population screening for affected individuals and for carriers is not appropriate at this time.
- As a prototype for all rare diseases, the plight of the patients with Gaucher disease raises difficult financial and ethical issues that we as a society must address.

Technology Assessment Panel

Edward R. B. McCabe, M.D., Ph.D.

*Panel and Conference Chairperson
Professor and Executive Chair*
Department of Pediatrics
UCLA School of Medicine
Los Angeles, California

Beth A. Fine, M.S.

*Assistant Professor and
Genetic Counselor*
Department of Obstetrics
and Gynecology
Graduate Program in Genetic
Counseling
Northwestern University
Medical School
Chicago, Illinois

Mitchell S. Golbus, M.D.

Professor
Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology,
and Reproductive Science
University of California,
San Francisco
San Francisco, California

Joel B. Greenhouse, Ph.D.

Professor
Department of Statistics
Carnegie–Mellon University
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

Geraldine L. McGrath

Vice President for Consumers
Alliance of Genetic Support Groups
Cystinosis Foundation
Floyd, Virginia

Maria New, M.D.

*Professor and Chairman
Chief of Endocrinology*
Department of Pediatrics
The New York Hospital–
Cornell Medical Center
New York, New York

William E. O'Brien, Ph.D.

Professor
Department of Molecular and
Human Genetics
Baylor College of Medicine
Houston, Texas

Peter T. Rowley, M.D.

*Professor of Medicine, Pediatrics,
and Genetics*
Division of Genetics
Department of Medicine
University of Rochester
School of Medicine
Rochester, New York

William S. Sly, M.D.

Professor and Chairman
Department of Biochemistry and
Molecular Biology
St. Louis University
School of Medicine
St. Louis, Missouri

M. Anne Spence, Ph.D.

Professor
Department of Pediatrics
Division of Human Genetics
University of California, Irvine
Irvine, California

James A. Stockman III, M.D.

President
American Board of Pediatrics
Consultant Professor of Pediatrics
Duke University Medical Center
Durham, North Carolina
Clinical Professor of Pediatrics
University of North Carolina
School of Medicine
Chapel Hill, North Carolina

Speakers

Michael Whyte, M.D.
Professor of Medicine and Pediatrics
Washington University
School of Medicine
St. Louis, Missouri

Wesley Wilson, M.D.
Internal Medicine/Hematologist
Western Montana Clinic
Missoula, Montana

Barry Wolf, M.D., Ph.D.
Professor
Departments of Human Genetics
and Pediatrics
Medical College of Virginia/
Virginia Commonwealth University
Richmond, Virginia

Johannes M.F.G. Aerts, Ph.D.
“Enzymatic Diagnosis and
Biochemical Detection of Disease
Manifestation”
Department of Biochemistry
E.C. Slater Institute
University of Amsterdam
Amsterdam, The Netherlands

John A. Barranger, M.D., Ph.D.
“Gene Therapy for
Gaucher Disease”
Professor
Department of Human Genetics
University of Pittsburgh
Medical Center
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

Norman W. Barton, M.D., Ph.D.
“Introduction to Enzyme Replace-
ment Therapy”
Chief
Clinical Investigations Section
Developmental and Metabolic
Neurology Branch
National Institute of Neurological
Disorders and Stroke
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

Ernest Beutler, M.D.
“North American Patients With
Gaucher Disease”
“Binding, Internalization,
and Degradation of
Glucocerebrosidase
by Macrophages”
“Enzyme Replacement Therapy”
Chairman
Department of Molecular and
Experimental Medicine
The Scripps Research Institute
La Jolla, California

Roscoe O. Brady, M.D.

“Overview and Introduction to
Gaucher Disease”

Chief

Developmental and Metabolic
Neurology Branch
National Institute of Neurological
Disorders and Stroke
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

Ian J. Cohen, M.D., Ch.B.

“Use of Enzyme Replacement
Therapy and Bisphosphonate
in Severely Affected Children
in Gaucher Disease”

National Center for Pediatric
Hematology Oncology
Children’s Medical Center of Israel
Petah Tikva, Israel

Timothy M. Cox, M.D.

“In Vivo Distribution of
Mannose-Terminated Human
Glucocerebrosidase in Patients
With Gaucher Disease”

Full Professor

Department of Medicine
University of Cambridge School
of Clinical Medicine
Addenbrooke’s Hospital
United Kingdom

Rabbi Josef Ekstein

“Premarital and Anonymous
Screening for Recessive Genetic
Diseases: Recent Experience
With Gaucher Disease”

Executive Director

Dor Yeshorim—Committee
for Prevention of Jewish
Genetic Diseases
Brooklyn, New York

Christine M. Eng, M.D.

“Genetic Screening in the Ashkenazi
Jewish Population: Experience
With a Pilot Program for the
Simultaneous Screening of
Three Genetic Diseases”

Assistant Professor

Department of Human Genetics
Mount Sinai School of Medicine
New York, New York

Anders Erikson, M.D., Ph.D.

“Norrbottnian Patients With
Gaucher Disease”

Department of Pediatrics
County Hospital
Boden, Sweden

Deborah M. Findling, M.S.

“Demographic Study From
a National Gaucher
Screening Program”

Genetic Counselor

Division of Genetics
Department of Pediatrics
University of Illinois at Chicago
Chicago, Illinois

Alan M. Garber, M.D., Ph.D.

“Economic Considerations in
Alglucerase Therapy of
Gaucher Disease”

HSR&D Senior Research Associate

General Internal Medicine Division
Department of Veterans Affairs

Associate Professor

Department of Medicine
Stanford University
School of Medicine
Stanford, California

Edward I. Ginns, M.D., Ph.D.

“Animal Models of Gaucher Disease”
“Development of Alternative
Therapies for Gaucher Disease”

Chief

Clinical Neuroscience Branch
National Institute of Mental Health
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

Gregory A. Grabowski, M.D.

“Genotype/Phenotype Correlations
in Gaucher Disease”
“Enzyme Therapy in Gaucher Disease”

Director

Division of Human Genetics
Children’s Hospital Medical Center
Professor

Departments of Molecular Genetics,
Microbiology and Biochemistry,
and Pediatrics
University of Cincinnati
Cincinnati, Ohio

Suvimol C. Hill, M.D.

“Radiographic Assessments of
Gaucher Disease”

Staff Radiologist

Diagnostic Radiology Department
Henry M. Jackson Foundation
Clinical Center
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

Carla E. M. Hollak, M.D.

“Individualized Low-Dose Alglucerase
Therapy for Type 1 Gaucher Disease”

Internist

Department of Internal Medicine
University of Amsterdam
Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Michael M. Kaback, M.D.

“Type 1 Gaucher Disease:
Heterozygote Screening in the
Ashkenazi Jewish Population—
An Alternative Perspective”

Professor

Departments of Pediatrics and
Reproductive Medicine
Division of Medical Genetics
University of California, San Diego
San Diego, California

Robert E. Lee, M.D.

“The Natural History and
Pathology of Gaucher Disease”

Professor

Department of Pathology
University of Pittsburgh
School of Medicine
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

Henry J. Mankin, M.D.

“Bone Disease in Patients With
Gaucher Disease: Evolution,
Assessment, and Therapy”

Chief

Orthopedic Service
Department of Orthopedic Surgery
Massachusetts General Hospital
Harvard Medical School
Boston, Massachusetts

Pramod K. Mistry, Ph.D., M.R.C.P.

“In Vivo Distribution of
Mannose-Terminated Human
Glucocerebrosidase in Patients
With Gaucher Disease”

Senior Lecturer

Department of Medicine
Royal Free Hospital
London, United Kingdom

Richard A. Moscicki, M.D.
“Adverse Reactions and Development
of Antibodies During Enzyme
Replacement Therapy”
Vice President
Clinical, Medical, and
Regulatory Affairs
Genzyme Corporation
Assistant Physician
Massachusetts General Hospital
Harvard Medical School
Cambridge, Massachusetts

Gary J. Murray, Ph.D.
“In Vivo and In Vitro Studies on
Targeting and Receptor-Mediated
Uptake of Glucocerebrosidase”
Developmental and Metabolic
Neurology Branch
National Institute of Neurological
Disorders and Stroke
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

Marvin Natowicz, M.D., Ph.D.
“Ethical, Legal, Social, and
Insurance Aspects of Screening
for Genetic Diseases”
Division of Medical Genetics
Shriver Center
Waltham, Massachusetts

Gregory M. Pastores, M.D.
“Enzyme Replacement Therapy
for Gaucher Type 1 Disease:
The Mount Sinai Experience”
Assistant Professor
Departments of Human Genetics
and Pediatrics
Mount Sinai School of Medicine
New York, New York

M. Clara Sá Miranda, Ph.D.
“Phenotypic and Genotypic
Characterization of Different
Gaucher Populations:
European/Asian Patients
With Gaucher Disease”
Head
Department of Enzymology
Instituto de Genética Médica
Jacinto de Magalhães
Porto, Portugal

Ellen Sidransky, M.D.
“DNA Mutational Analysis
of Phenotypically Diverse
Populations of Gaucher Patients”
Chief
Unit on Clinical Genetics
Clinical Neuroscience Branch
National Institute of Mental Health
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

John E. Ware, Jr., Ph.D.
“Estimating the Health Burden of
Gaucher Disease and the Health
Benefit of Enzyme Replacement
Therapy: A Psychometric Approach”
Senior Scientist
Division of Health Improvement
The Health Institute
New England Medical Center
Boston, Massachusetts

Rob Willemsen
“Visualization of Alglucerase
Targeting: An Immunocytochemical
Study on Mouse Liver”
Department of Cell Biology
and Genetics
Erasmus University
Rotterdam, The Netherlands

Planning Committee

Rina Zaizov, M.D.

“Use of Enzyme Replacement
Therapy and Bisphosphonate
in Severely Affected Children
in Gaucher Disease”

Professor of Pediatrics

Sackler School of Medicine

Director

National Center for Pediatric

Hematology Oncology

Children’s Medical Center of Israel

Petah Tikva, Israel

Ari Zimran, M.D.

“Phenotype Correlation in Israeli
Patients With Gaucher Disease”

“Results of Splenectomy, Partial
Splenectomy, and Enzyme
Replacement Therapy in Israel”

Director

Gaucher Clinic

Department of Medicine

Shaare Zedek Medical Center

Jerusalem, Israel

Ellen Sidransky, M.D.

Chairperson

Chief

Unit on Clinical Genetics

Clinical Neuroscience Branch

National Institute of Mental Health

National Institutes of Health

Bethesda, Maryland

John A. Barranger, M.D., Ph.D.

Professor

Department of Human Genetics

University of Pittsburgh

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

Norman W. Barton, M.D., Ph.D.

Chief

Clinical Investigations Section

Developmental and Metabolic

Neurology Branch

National Institute of Neurological

Disorders and Stroke

National Institutes of Health

Bethesda, Maryland

Inese Z. Beitins, M.D.

Director

General Clinical Research

Centers Program

National Center for Research

Resources

National Institutes of Health

Bethesda, Maryland

Robin Ely Berman, M.D.

President and CEO

Medical Director

National Gaucher Foundation

Rockville, Maryland

Ernest Beutler, M.D.

Chairman

Department of Molecular and

Experimental Medicine

The Scripps Research Institute

La Jolla, California

Roscoe O. Brady, M.D.

Chief

Developmental and Metabolic
Neurology Branch
National Institute of Neurological
Disorders and Stroke
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

Jerry M. Elliott

Program Analyst

Office of Medical Applications
of Research
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

John H. Ferguson, M.D.

Director

Office of Medical Applications
of Research
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

Edward I. Ginns, M.D., Ph.D.

Chief

Clinical Neuroscience Branch
National Institute of Mental Health
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

Gregory A. Grabowski, M.D.

Director

Division of Human Genetics
Children's Hospital Medical Center
Professor
Departments of Molecular Genetics,
Microbiology and Biochemistry,
and Pediatrics
University of Cincinnati
Cincinnati, Ohio

William H. Hall

Director of Communications

Office of Medical Applications of Research
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

Edward R.B. McCabe, M.D., Ph.D.

Professor and Executive Chair

Department of Pediatrics
UCLA School of Medicine
Los Angeles, California

Catherine McKeon, Ph.D.

Director

Metabolic Diseases and Gene Therapy
Division of Endocrinology and
Metabolic Diseases
National Institute of Diabetes and
Digestive and Kidney Diseases
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

Ralph M. Nitkin, Ph.D.

Health Scientist Administrator

Mental Retardation and
Developmental Disabilities Branch
National Institute of Child Health
and Human Development
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

Sandra L. Schlesinger, M.S.

Genetics Counselor/Coordinator

Interinstitute Medical Genetics Program
National Center for Human
Genome Research
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

Philip H. Sheridan, M.D.

Special Assistant to the Director

National Institute of Neurological
Disorders and Stroke
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

Marilyn Weeks

Public Affairs Specialist

Office of Scientific Information
National Institute of Mental Health
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

Conference Sponsors

National Institute of Mental Health

Rex W. Cowdry, M.D.

Acting Director

NIH Office of Medical Applications of Research

John H. Ferguson, M.D.

Director

Conference Cosponsors

National Institute of Child Health and Human Development

Duane F. Alexander, M.D.

Director

National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases

Phillip Gorden, M.D.

Director

National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke

Zach W. Hall, Ph.D.

Director

National Center for Research Resources

Judith L. Vaitukaitis, M.D.

Director

National Center for Human Genome Research

Francis S. Collins, M.D., Ph.D.

Director

NIH Office of Rare Disease Research

Stephen Groft, Pharm.D.

Director

Statement Availability

Preparation and distribution of this statement is the responsibility of the Office of Medical Applications of Research of the National Institutes of Health. Free copies of this statement as well as all other available NIH Technology Assessment Statements and NIH Consensus Statements may be obtained from the following resources:

NIH Consensus Program Information Service
P.O. Box 2577
Kensington, MD 20891
Telephone 1-800-NIH-OMAR (644-6627)
Fax (301) 816-2494

NIH Office of Medical Applications of Research
Federal Building, Room 618
7550 Wisconsin Avenue MSC 9120
Bethesda, MD 20892-9120

Full-text versions of all these statements are also available online through an electronic bulletin board system and through the Internet:

NIH Information Center BBS
1-800-NIH-BBSI (644-2271)

Internet

Gopher
[gopher://gopher.nih.gov/Health and Clinical Information](gopher://gopher.nih.gov/Health%20and%20Clinical%20Information)

World Wide Web
<http://text.nlm.nih.gov>

ftp
<ftp://public.nlm.nih.gov/hstat>



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
AND HUMAN SERVICES
Public Health Service
National Institutes of Health
Office of Medical Applications of Research
Federal Building, Room 618
7550 Wisconsin Avenue MSC 9120
Bethesda, MD 20892-9120

Official Business
Penalty for private use \$300

BULK RATE
Postage & Fees
PAID
DHHS/NIH
Permit No. G763