

About the NIH Consensus Development Program

NIH Consensus Development Conferences are convened to evaluate available scientific information and resolve safety and efficacy issues related to a biomedical technology. The resultant NIH Consensus Statements are intended to advance understanding of the technology or issue in question and to be useful to health professionals and the public.

NIH Consensus Statements are prepared by a non-advocate, non-Federal panel of experts, based on (1) presentations by investigators working in areas relevant to the consensus questions during a 2-day public session, (2) questions and statements from conference attendees during open discussion periods that are part of the public session, and (3) closed deliberations by the panel during the remainder of the second day and morning of the third. This statement is an independent report of the panel and is not a policy statement of the NIH or the Federal Government.

Reference Information

For making bibliographic reference to this technology assessment statement, it is recommended that the following format be used, with or without source abbreviations, but without authorship attribution:

Physical Activity and Cardiovascular Health. NIH Consensus Statement 1995 Dec 18–20; 13(3): 1–33.

Publications Ordering Information

NIH Consensus Statements, NIH Technology Assessment Statements, and related materials are available by writing to the NIH Consensus Program Information Center, P.O. Box 2577, Kensington, Maryland 20891; by calling toll free **1-888-NIH-CONSENSUS** (1-888-644-2667); or by visiting the NIH Consensus Development Program home page on the World Wide Web at <http://consensus.nih.gov>.



NIH Consensus Statement

Volume 13, Number 3
December 18–20, 1995

Physical Activity and Cardiovascular Health

This statement reflects the panel's assessment of medical knowledge available at the time the statement was written. Thus, it provides a "snapshot in time" of the state of knowledge on the conference topic. When reading the statement, keep in mind that new knowledge is inevitably accumulating through medical research.



National Institutes Of Health

Continuing Medical Education

Abstract

Objective

To provide physicians and the general public with a responsible assessment of the relationship between physical activity and cardiovascular health.

Participants

A non-Federal, nonadvocate, 13-member panel representing the fields of cardiology, psychology, exercise physiology, nutrition, pediatrics, public health, and epidemiology. In addition, 27 experts in cardiology, psychology, epidemiology, exercise physiology, geriatrics, nutrition, pediatrics, public health, and sports medicine presented data to the panel and a conference audience of 600.

Evidence

The literature was searched through Medline and an extensive bibliography of references was provided to the panel and the conference audience. Experts prepared abstracts with relevant citations from the literature. Scientific evidence was given precedence over clinical anecdotal experience.

Consensus Process

The panel, answering predefined questions, developed their conclusions based on the scientific evidence presented in open forum and the scientific literature. The panel composed a draft statement that was read in its entirety and circulated to the experts and the audience for comment. Thereafter, the panel resolved conflicting recommendations and released a revised statement at the end of the conference. The panel finalized the revisions within a few weeks after the conference.

Conclusions

All Americans should engage in regular physical activity at a level appropriate to their capacity, needs, and interest. Children and adults alike should set a goal of accumulating at least 30 minutes of moderate-intensity physical activity on most, and preferably, all days of the week. Most Americans have little or no physical activity in their daily lives, and accumulating evidence indicates that physical inactivity is a major risk factor for cardiovascular disease. However, moderate levels of physical activity confer significant health benefits. Even those who currently meet these daily standards may derive additional health and fitness benefits by becoming more physically active or including more vigorous activity. For those with known cardiovascular disease, cardiac rehabilitation programs that combine physical activity with reduction in other risk factors should be more widely used.

Introduction

Over the past 25 years, the United States has experienced a steady decline in the age-adjusted death toll from cardiovascular disease (CVD), primarily in mortality caused by coronary heart disease and stroke. Despite this decline, coronary heart disease remains the leading cause of death and stroke the third leading cause of death. Lifestyle improvements by the American public and better control of the risk factors for heart disease and stroke have been major factors in this decline.

Coronary heart disease and stroke have many causes. Modifiable risk factors include smoking, high blood pressure, blood lipid levels, obesity, diabetes, and physical inactivity. In contrast to the positive national trends observed with cigarette smoking, high blood pressure, and high blood cholesterol, obesity and physical inactivity in the United States have not improved. Indeed automation and other technologies have contributed greatly to lessening physical activity at work and home.

The purpose of this conference was to examine the accumulating evidence on the role of physical activity in the prevention and treatment of CVD and its risk factors.

Physical activity in this statement is defined as “bodily movement produced by skeletal muscles that requires energy expenditure” and produces overall health benefits. Exercise, a type of physical activity, is defined as “a planned, structured, and repetitive bodily movement done to improve or maintain one or more components of physical fitness.” Physical inactivity denotes a level of activity less than that needed to maintain good health.

Physical inactivity characterizes most Americans. Exertion has been systematically engineered out of most occupations and lifestyles. In 1991, 54 percent of adults reported little or no regular leisure physical activity. Data from the 1990 Youth Risk Behavior Survey show that most teenagers in grades 9–12 are not performing regular vigorous activity. About 50 percent of high school students reported they are not enrolled in physical education classes.

Physical activity protects against the development of CVD and also favorably modifies other CVD risk factors, including high blood pressure, blood lipid levels, insulin resistance, and obesity. The type, frequency, and intensity of physical activity that are needed to accomplish these goals remain poorly defined and controversial.

Physical activity is also important in the treatment of patients with CVD or those who are at increased risk for developing CVD, including patients who have hypertension, stable angina, or peripheral vascular disease, or who have had a prior myocardial infarction or heart failure. Physical activity is an important component of cardiac rehabilitation, and people with CVD can benefit from participation. However, some questions remain regarding benefits, risks, and costs associated with becoming physically active.

Many factors are associated with adopting and maintaining a physically active lifestyle, such as socioeconomic status, cultural influences, age, and health status. Understanding is needed on how such variables influence the adoption of this behavior at the individual level. Intervention strategies for encouraging individuals from different backgrounds to adopt and adhere to a physically active lifestyle need to be developed and tested. Different environments such as schools, worksites, health care settings, and the home can play a role in promoting physical activity. These community-level factors also need to be better understood.

To address these and related issues, the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute and the NIH Office of Medical Applications of Research convened a Consensus Development Conference on Physical Activity and Cardiovascular Health. The conference was cosponsored by the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, the National Institute on Aging, the National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases, the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, the National Institute of Nursing Research, and the Office of Research on Women's Health and the Office of Disease Prevention of the NIH; the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; and the President's Council on Physical Fitness and Sports.

The conference brought together specialists in medicine, exercise physiology, health behavior, epidemiology, nutrition, physical therapy, and nursing as well as representatives from the public. After 1½ days of presentations and audience discussion, an independent, non-Federal consensus panel weighed the scientific evidence and developed a draft statement that addressed the following five questions.

- What is the health burden of a sedentary lifestyle on the population?
- What type, what intensity, and what quantity of physical activity are important to prevent cardiovascular disease?
- What are the benefits and risks of different types of physical activity for people with cardiovascular disease?
- What are the successful approaches to adopting and maintaining a physically active lifestyle?
- What are the important questions for future research?

What Is the Health Burden of a Sedentary Lifestyle on the Population?

Physical inactivity among the U.S. population is now widespread. National surveillance programs have documented that about one in four adults (more women than men) currently have sedentary lifestyles with no leisure time physical activity. An additional one-third of adults are insufficiently active to achieve health benefits. The prevalence of inactivity varies by gender, age, ethnicity, health status, and geographic region but is common to all demographic groups. Change in physical exertion associated with occupation has declined markedly in this century.

Girls become less active than do boys as they grow older. Children become far less active as they move through adolescence. Obesity is increasing among children. It is related to an energy imbalance (i.e., calories consumed in excess of calorie expenditure [physical activity]). Data indicate that obese children and adolescents have a high risk of becoming obese adults, and obesity in adulthood is related to coronary artery disease, hypertension, and diabetes. Thus, the prevention of childhood obesity has the potential of preventing CVD in adults. At age 12, 70 percent of children report participation in vigorous physical activity; by age 21 this activity falls to 42 percent for men and 30 percent for women. Furthermore, as adults age, their physical activity levels continue to decline.

Although knowledge about physical inactivity as a risk factor for CVD has come mainly from investigations of middle-aged, white men, more limited evidence from studies in women, minority groups and the elderly suggests that the findings are similar in these groups. On the basis of current knowledge, we must note that physical inactivity occurs disproportionately among Americans who are not well educated and who are socially or economically disadvantaged.

Physical activity is directly related to physical fitness. Although the means of measuring physical activity and physical fitness have varied between studies (i.e., there is no standardization of measures), evidence indicates that physical inactivity and

lack of physical fitness are directly associated with increased mortality from CVD. The increase in mortality is not entirely explained by the association with elevated blood pressure, smoking, and blood lipid levels.

There is an inverse relationship between measures of physical activity and indices of obesity in most U.S. population studies. Only a few studies have examined the relationship between physical activity and body fat distribution, and these suggest an inverse relationship between levels of physical activity and visceral fat. There is evidence that increased physical activity facilitates weight loss and that the addition of physical activity to dietary energy restriction can increase and help to maintain loss of body weight and body fat mass.

Middle-aged and older men and women who engage in regular physical activity have significantly higher high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol levels than do those who are sedentary. When exercise training is performed to at least 12 weeks, beneficial HDL cholesterol level changes have been reported.

Most studies of endurance exercise training of individuals with normal blood pressure and those with hypertension have shown decreases in systolic and diastolic blood pressure. Insulin sensitivity is also improved with endurance exercise.

A number of factors that affect thrombotic function—including hematocrit, fibrinogen, platelet function, and fibrinolysis—are related to the risk of CVD. Regular endurance exercise lowers the risk related to these factors.

The burden of CVD rests most heavily on the least active. In addition to its powerful impact on the cardiovascular system, physical inactivity is also associated with other adverse health effects, including osteoporosis, diabetes, and some cancers.

What Type, What Intensity, and What Quantity of Physical Activity Are Important To Prevent Cardiovascular Disease?

Activity that reduces CVD risk factors and confers many other health benefits does not require a structured or vigorous exercise program. The majority of benefits of physical activity can be gained by performing moderate-intensity activities. The amount or type of physical activity needed for health benefits or optimal health is a concern due to limited time and competing activities for most Americans. The amount and types of physical activity that are needed to prevent disease and promote health must, therefore, be clearly communicated, and effective strategies must be developed to promote physical activity to the public.

The quantitative relationship between level of activity or fitness and magnitude of cardiovascular benefit may extend across the full range of activity. A moderate level of physical activity confers health benefits. However, physical activity must be performed frequently to maintain these effects. Moderate-intensity activity performed by previously sedentary individuals results in significant improvement in many health-related outcomes. These moderate-intensity activities are more likely to be continued than are high-intensity activities.

We recommend that all people in the United States increase their regular physical activity to a level appropriate to their capacities, needs, and interest. We recommend that all children and adults should set a long-term goal to accumulate at least 30 minutes or more of moderate-intensity physical activity on most, or preferably all, days of the week. Intermittent or shorter bouts of activity (at least 10 minutes), including occupational, nonoccupational, or tasks of daily living, also have similar cardiovascular and health benefits if performed at a level of moderate intensity (such as brisk walking, cycling, swimming, home repair, and yardwork) with an accumulated duration of at least 30 minutes per day. People who currently meet the recommended minimal standards may derive additional health and fitness benefits from becoming more physically active or including more vigorous activity.

Some evidence suggests lowered mortality with more vigorous activity, but further research is needed to more specifically define safe and effective levels. The most active individuals have lower cardiovascular morbidity and mortality rates than do those who are least active; however, much of the benefit appears to be accounted for by comparing the least active individuals to those who are moderately active. Further increases in the intensity or amount of activity produce further benefits in some, but not all, parameters of risk. High-intensity activity is also associated with an increased risk of injury, discontinuation of activity, or acute cardiac events during the activity. Current low rates of regular activity in Americans may be partially due to the misperception of many that vigorous, continuous exercise is necessary to reap health benefits. Many people, for example, fail to appreciate walking as “exercise” or to recognize the substantial benefits of short bouts (at least 10 minutes) of moderate-level activity.

The frequency, intensity, and duration of activity are inter-related. The number of episodes of activity recommended for health depends on the intensity and/or duration of the activity. Higher intensity or longer duration activity could be performed approximately three times weekly, but low-intensity or shorter duration activities should be performed more often to achieve cardiovascular benefits.

The appropriate type of activity is best determined by the individual’s preferences and what will be sustained. Exercise, or a structured program of activity, is a subset of activity that may encourage interest and allow for more vigorous activity. People who perform more formal exercise (i.e., structured or planned exercise programs) can accumulate this daily total through a variety of recreational or sports activities. People who are currently sedentary or minimally active should gradually build up to the recommended goal of 30 minutes of moderate activity daily by adding a few minutes each day until reaching their personal goal to reduce the risk associated with suddenly increasing the amount or intensity of exercise. (The defined levels of effort depend on individual characteristics such as baseline fitness and health status.)

Developing muscular strength and joint flexibility is also important for an overall activity program to improve one’s ability to

perform tasks and to reduce the potential for injury. Upper extremity and resistance (or strength) training can improve muscular function, and evidence suggests that there may be cardiovascular benefits, especially in older patients or those with underlying CVD, but further research and guidelines are needed. Older people or those who have been deconditioned from recent inactivity or illness may particularly benefit from resistance training due to improved ability in accomplishing tasks of daily living. Resistance training may contribute to better balance, coordination, and agility that may help prevent falls in the elderly. These abilities facilitate physical activity important for cardiovascular health.

Physical activity carries risks as well as benefits. The most common adverse effects of activity relate to musculoskeletal injury and are usually mild and self-limited. The risk of injury increases with increased intensity, frequency, and duration of activity and also depends on the type of activity. Exercise-related injuries can be reduced by moderating these parameters. A more serious but rare complication of activity is myocardial infarction or sudden cardiac death. Although persons who engage in vigorous physical activity have a slight increase in risk of sudden cardiac death during activity, the health benefits outweigh this risk because of the large overall risk reduction.

In children and young adults, exertion-related deaths are uncommon and are generally related to congenital heart defects (e.g., hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, Marfan's syndrome, severe aortic valve stenosis, prolonged QT syndromes, cardiac conduction abnormalities) or to acquired myocarditis. It is recommended that patients with those conditions remain active but not participate in vigorous or competitive athletics.

Because the risks of physical activity are very low compared with the health benefits, most adults do not need medical consultation or pretesting before starting a moderate-intensity physical activity program. However, those with known CVD and men over age 40 and women over age 50 with multiple cardiovascular risk factors who contemplate a program of vigorous activity should have a medical evaluation prior to initiating such a program.

What Are the Benefits and Risks of Different Types of Physical Activity for People With Cardiovascular Disease?

More than 10 million Americans are afflicted with clinically significant CVD, including myocardial infarction, angina pectoris, peripheral vascular disease, and congestive heart failure. In addition, more than 300,000 patients per year are currently subjected to coronary artery bypass surgery and a similar number to percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty. Increased physical activity appears to benefit each of these groups. Benefits include reduction in cardiovascular mortality, reduction of symptoms, improvement in exercise tolerance and functional capacity, and improvement in psychological well-being and quality of life.

Several studies have shown that exercise training programs significantly reduce overall mortality, as well as death caused by myocardial infarction. The reported reductions in mortality have been highest—approximately 25 percent—in cardiac rehabilitation programs that have included control of other cardiovascular risk factors. Rehabilitation programs using both moderate and vigorous physical activity have been associated with reductions in fatal cardiac events, although the minimal or optimal level and duration of exercise required to achieve beneficial effects remain uncertain. Data are inadequate to determine whether stroke incidence is affected by physical activity or exercise training.

The risk of death during medically supervised cardiac exercise training programs is very low. However, those who exercise infrequently and have poor functional capacity at baseline may be at somewhat higher risk during exercise training. All patients with CVD should have a medical evaluation prior to participation in a vigorous exercise program.

Appropriately prescribed and conducted exercise training programs improve exercise tolerance and physical fitness in patients with coronary heart disease. Moderate as well as vigorous exercise training regimens are of value. Patients with low basal levels of exercise capacity experience the most functional benefits, even at relatively modest levels

of physical activity. Patients with angina pectoris typically experience improvement in angina in association with a reduction in effort-induced myocardial ischemia, presumably as a result of decreased myocardial oxygen demand and increased work capacity.

Patients with congestive heart failure also appear to show improvement in symptoms, exercise capacity, and functional well-being in response to exercise training, even though left ventricular systolic function appears to be unaffected. The exercise program should be tailored to the needs of these patients and supervised closely in view of the marked predisposition of these patients to ischemic events and arrhythmias.

Cardiac rehabilitation exercise training often improves skeletal muscle strength and oxidative capacity and, when combined with appropriate nutritional changes, may result in weight loss. In addition, such training generally results in improvement in measures of psychological status, social adjustment, and functional capacity. However, cardiac rehabilitation exercise training has less influence on rates of return to work than many nonexercise variables, including employer attitudes, prior employment status, and economic incentives. Multifactorial intervention programs—including nutritional changes and medication plus exercise—are needed to improve health status and reduce cardiovascular disease risk.

Cardiac rehabilitation programs have traditionally been institutional-based and group-centered (e.g., hospitals, clinics, community centers). Referral and enrollment rates have been relatively low, generally ranging from 10 to 25 percent of patients with CHD. Referral rates are lower for women than for men and lower for non-whites than for whites. Home-based programs have the potential to provide rehabilitative services to a wider population. Home-based programs incorporating limited hospital visits with regular mail or telephone followup by a nurse case manager have demonstrated significant increases in functional capacity, smoking cessation, and improvement in blood lipid levels. A range of options exists in cardiac rehabilitation including site, number of visits, monitoring, and other services.

There are clear medical and economic reasons for carrying out cardiac rehabilitation programs. Optimal outcomes are achieved when exercise training is combined with educational messages and feedback about changing lifestyle. Patients who participate in cardiac rehabilitation programs show a lower incidence of rehospitalization and lower charges per hospitalization. Cardiac rehabilitation is a cost-efficient therapeutic modality that should be used more frequently.

What Are the Successful Approaches to Adopting and Maintaining a Physically Active Lifestyle?

The cardiovascular benefits from and physiological reactions to physical activity appear to be similar among diverse population subgroups defined by age, gender, income, region of residence, ethnic background, and health status. However, the behavioral and attitudinal factors that influence the motivation for and ability to sustain physical activity are strongly determined by social experiences, cultural background, and physical disability and health status. For example, perceptions of appropriate physical activity differ by gender, age, weight, marital status, family roles and responsibilities, disability, and social class. Thus, the following general guidelines will need to be further refined when one is planning with or prescribing for specific individuals and population groups, but generally physical activity is more likely to be initiated and maintained if the individual

- Perceives a net benefit.
- Chooses an enjoyable activity.
- Feels competent doing the activity.
- Feels safe doing the activity.
- Can easily access the activity on a regular basis.
- Can fit the activity into the daily schedule.
- Feels that the activity does not generate financial or social costs that he or she is unwilling to bear.
- Experiences a minimum of negative consequences such as injury, loss of time, negative peer pressure, and problems with self-identity.
- Is able to successfully address issues of competing time demands.

- Recognizes the need to balance the use of labor-saving devices (e.g., power lawn mowers, golf carts, automobiles) and sedentary activities (e.g., watching television, use of computers) with activities that involve a higher level of physical exertion.

Other people in the individual's social environment can influence the adoption and maintenance of physical activity. Health care providers have a key role in promoting smoking cessation and other risk-reduction behaviors. Preliminary evidence suggests that this also applies to physical activity. It is highly probable that people will be more likely to increase their physical activity if their health care provider counsels them to do so. Providers can do this effectively by learning to recognize stages of behavior change, to communicate the need for increased activity, to assist the patient in initiating activity, and by following up appropriately.

Family and friends can also be important sources of support for behavior change. For example, spouses or friends can serve as "buddies," joining in the physical activity; or a spouse could offer to take on a household task, giving his or her mate time to engage in physical activity. Parents can support their children's activity by providing transportation, praise, and encouragement, and by participating in activities with their children.

Worksites have the potential to encourage increased physical activity by offering opportunities, reminders, and rewards for doing so. For example, an appropriate indoor area can be set aside to enable walking during lunch hours. Signs placed near elevators can encourage the use of the stairs instead. Discounts on parking fees can be offered to employees who elect to park in remote lots and walk.

Schools are a major community resource for increasing physical activity, particularly given the urgent need to develop strategies that affect children and adolescents. As noted previously, there is now clear evidence that U.S. children and adolescents have become more obese. There is also evidence

that obese children and adolescents exercise less than their leaner peers. All schools should provide opportunities for physical activities that

- Are appropriate and enjoyable for children of all skill levels and are not limited to competitive sports or physical education classes.
- Appeal to girls as well as to boys, and to children from diverse backgrounds.
- Can serve as a foundation for activities throughout life.
- Are offered on a daily basis.

Successful approaches may involve mass education strategies or changes in institutional policies or community variables. In some environments (e.g., schools, worksites, community centers), policy-level interventions may be necessary to enable people to achieve and maintain an adequate level of activity. Policy changes that increase opportunities for physical activity can facilitate activity maintenance for motivated individuals and increase readiness to change among the less motivated. As in other areas of health promotion, mass communication strategies should be used to promote physical activity. These strategies should include a variety of mainstream channels and techniques to reach diverse audiences that acquire information through different media (e.g., TV, newspaper, radio, Internet).

What Are the Important Considerations for Future Research?

While much has been learned about the role of physical activity in cardiovascular health, there are many unanswered questions.

- Maintain surveillance of physical activity levels in the U.S. population by age, gender, geographic, and socio-economic measures.
- Develop better methods for analysis and quantification of activity. These methods should be applicable to both work and leisure time measurements and provide direct quantitative estimates of activity.
- Conduct physiologic, biochemical, and genetic research necessary to define the mechanisms by which activity affects CVD including changes in metabolism as well as cardiac and vascular effects. This will provide new insights into cardiovascular biology that may have broader implications than for other clinical outcomes.
- Examine the effects of physical activity and cardiac rehabilitation programs on morbidity and mortality in elderly individuals.
- Conduct research on the social and psychological factors that influence adoption of a more active lifestyle and the maintenance of that behavior change throughout life.
- Carry out controlled randomized clinical trials among children and adolescents to test the effects of increased physical activity on CVD risk factor levels including obesity. The effects of intensity, frequency, and duration of increased physical activity should be examined in such studies.

Conclusions

Accumulating scientific evidence indicates that physical inactivity is a major risk factor for CVD. Moderate levels of regular physical activity confer significant health benefits. Unfortunately, most Americans have little or no physical activity in their daily lives.

All Americans should engage in regular physical activity at a level appropriate to their capacities, needs, and interests. All children and adults should set and reach a goal of accumulating at least 30 minutes of moderate-intensity physical activity on most, and preferably all, days of the week. Those who currently meet these standards may derive additional health and fitness benefits by becoming more physically active or including more vigorous activity.

Cardiac rehabilitation programs that combine physical activity with reduction in other risk factors should be more widely applied to those with known CVD. Well-designed rehabilitation programs have benefits that are lost because of these programs' limited use.

Individuals with CVD and men over 40 or women over 50 years of age with multiple cardiovascular risk factors should have a medical evaluation prior to embarking on a vigorous exercise program.

Recognizing the importance of individual and societal factors in initiating and sustaining regular physical activity, the panel recommends the following:

- Development of programs for health care providers to communicate to patients the importance of regular physical activity.
- Increased community support of regular physical activity with environmental and policy changes at schools, work-sites, community centers, and other sites.

- Initiation of a coordinated national campaign involving a consortium of collaborating health organizations to encourage regular physical activity.

The implementation of the recommendations in this statement has considerable potential to improve the health and well-being of American citizens.

Consensus Development Panel

Russell V. Luepker, M.D.

*Panel and Conference
Chairperson
Professor and Head
Division of Epidemiology
School of Public Health
University of Minnesota
Minneapolis, Minnesota*

Suzanne Bennett Johnson, Ph.D.

*Professor and Program Director
Center for Pediatric Psychology
Research
University of Florida
Gainesville, Florida*

Lester Breslow, M.D., M.P.H.

*Professor and Dean Emeritus,
School of Public Health
University of California,
Los Angeles
Los Angeles, California*

Aram V. Chobanian, M.D.

*Dean and Professor of Medicine
Boston University
School of Medicine
Boston, Massachusetts*

Clarence Edward Davis, Ph.D.

*Professor of Biostatistics
Director, Collaborative Studies
Coordinating Center
Department of Biostatistics
School of Public Health
University of North Carolina
at Chapel Hill
Chapel Hill, North Carolina*

Brian R. Duling, Ph.D.

*Professor of Molecular Physiol-
ogy and Biological Physics
University of Virginia
Medical School
Charlottesville, Virginia*

Shiriki Kumanyika, Ph.D., M.P.H.

*Professor and Associate
Director for Epidemiology
Center for Biostatistics and
Epidemiology
Pennsylvania State University
College of Medicine
Hershey, Pennsylvania*

Ronald M. Lauer, M.D.

*Professor of Pediatrics,
Preventive Medicine,
and Occupational Health
Director, Division of Pediatric
Cardiology
University of Iowa Hospitals
and Clinics
Iowa City, Iowa*

Punkie Lawson, M.B.A.

*Senior Vice President
NationsBank
Charlotte, North Carolina*

Patrick E. McBride, M.D., M.P.H.

*Associate Professor
Division of Preventive Cardiology
Departments of Family Medicine
and Medicine
University of Wisconsin-Madison
Madison, Wisconsin*

Suzanne Oparil, M.D.

*Director, Vascular Biology and
Hypertension Program
Division of Cardiovascular
Disease
Department of Medicine
University of Alabama at
Birmingham
Birmingham, Alabama*

Ronald J. Prineas, M.D., Ph.D.

*Professor and Chair
Department of Epidemiology
and Public Health
University of Miami
School of Medicine
Miami, Florida*

Reginald L. Washington, M.D.
Vice President
Rocky Mountain Pediatric
Cardiology
Denver, Colorado

Speakers

Steven N. Blair, P.E.D.
"Effects of Physical Activity
on Cardiovascular Disease
Mortality Independent of
Risk Factors"
Director of Research
Director, Epidemiology and
Clinical Applications
Cooper Institute for Aerobics
Research
Dallas, Texas

James A. Blumenthal, Ph.D.
"Behavioral and Psycho-
social Issues of Cardiac
Rehabilitation"
Professor, Division of Medical
Psychology
Department of Psychiatry
Duke University Medical Center
Durham, North Carolina

Claude Bouchard, Ph.D.
"Overview of the Biological and
Physiological Mechanisms by
Which Different Forms of Phy-
sical Activity Prevent CVD"
Professor
Physical Activity Sciences
Laboratory
Laval University
Sainte Foy, Quebec, Canada

David M. Buchner, M.D., M.P.H.
"Physical Activity Interventions
in Older Adults"
Professor of Health Services
and Medicine
Department of Health Services
School of Public Health and
Community Medicine
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington

Carl J. Caspersen, Ph.D., M.P.H.
"Prevalence of Physical Inactivity
in the United States"
Physical Activity Epidemiologist
Cardiovascular Health Studies
Branch
Division of Chronic Disease
Control and Community
Intervention
National Center for Chronic
Disease Prevention and
Health Promotion
Centers for Disease Control
and Prevention
Atlanta, Georgia

Patricia M. Dubbert, Ph.D.
"Physical Activity in Women"
Chief, Psychology Service
Jackson Veterans Affairs
Medical Center
Professor, Psychiatry and
Human Behavior
University of Mississippi
School of Medicine
Jackson, Mississippi

E. Randy Eichner, M.D.
"Physical Activity, Coagulability,
and Fibrinolysis"
Professor of Medicine
Hematology-Oncology Section
Department of Medicine
University of Oklahoma Health
Sciences Center
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

Barry A. Franklin, Ph.D.
"Update on Secondary Preven-
tion of Cardiovascular
Disease and Exercise-Based
Cardiac Rehabilitation"
Director, Cardiac Rehabilitation
and Exercise Laboratories
William Beaumont Hospital
Beaumont Rehabilitation and
Health Center
Professor of Physiology
Wayne State University
School of Medicine
Birmingham, Michigan

James M. Hagberg, Ph.D.
“Physical Activity, Physical
Fitness, and Blood Pressure”
Professor of Medicine
Division of Preventive Cardiology
Department of Medicine
University of Pittsburgh
Medical Center
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

William L. Haskell, Ph.D.
“Physical Activity, Lifestyle,
and Health in America”
Professor, Division of Cardiology
Department of Medicine
Stanford University
School of Medicine
Palo Alto, California

James O. Hill, Ph.D.
“Physical Activity, Body Weight,
and Body Fat Distribution”
Associate Professor of Pediatrics
Associate Director, Center for
Human Nutrition
School of Medicine
University of Colorado Health
Sciences Center
Denver, Colorado

**Nancy Houston Miller, R.N.,
B.S.N.**
“Does the Mode of Delivery
of Cardiac Rehabilitation
Influence Outcome?”
Associate Director
Stanford Cardiac Rehabilitation
Program
Stanford University
School of Medicine
Palo Alto, California

Harold W. Kohl III, Ph.D.
“What Is the Magnitude of Risk
for Cardiovascular Disease
Associated With Sedentary
Living Habits?”
Director of Research,
Sports Medicine
Baylor Sports Medicine Institute
Baylor College of Medicine
Houston, Texas

Andrea M. Kriska, Ph.D.
“Effectiveness of Physical
Activity Interventions
in Minority Populations:
What Are the Successful
Approaches to Adopting
and Maintaining a Physi-
cally Active Lifestyle?”
Assistant Professor
Department of Epidemiology
Graduate School of
Public Health
University of Pittsburgh
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

**I-Min Lee, M.D., Sc.D.,
M.B.B.S.**
“Is Vigorous Physical Activity
Necessary To Reduce the
Risk of Cardiovascular
Disease?”
Assistant Professor
of Medicine
Harvard Medical School
Assistant Professor of
Epidemiology
Harvard School of
Public Health
Boston, Massachusetts

**Arthur S. Leon, M.D., M.S.,
F.A.C.S.M., F.A.C.C.**
“Contributions of Regular
Moderate-Intensity
Physical Activity to
Reduced Risk of
Coronary Heart Disease”
Henry L. Taylor Professorship
in Exercise Science and
Health Enhancement
Division of Kinesiology
School of Kinesiology
and Leisure Studies
College of Education
University of Minnesota
Minneapolis, Minnesota

Bess H. Marcus, Ph.D.

“Determinants of Physical Activity Behavior and Implications for Interventions”
Associate Professor of Psychiatry and Human Behavior
Division of Behavioral and Preventive Medicine
Department of Psychiatry
Miriam Hospital and Brown University
School of Medicine
Providence, Rhode Island

Neil B. Oldridge, Ph.D.

“Health-Related Quality-of-Life and Economic Evaluation of Cardiac Rehabilitation”
Professor
Department of Health Sciences
School of Allied Health Professions
University of Wisconsin
Milwaukee, Wisconsin

Russell R. Pate, Ph.D.

“Physical Activity in Children and Adolescents”
Chairman, Department of Exercise Science
School of Public Health
University of South Carolina
Columbia, South Carolina

Kevin Patrick, M.D., M.S.

“The Impact of Health Care Providers on Physical Activity”
Director, Project PACE
Associate Clinical Professor of Family and Preventive Medicine
University of California, San Diego
Adjunct Professor of Public Health
San Diego State University
San Diego, California

Kenneth E. Powell, M.D., M.P.H.

“Population Attributable Risk of Physical Inactivity”
Associate Director for Science
Division of Violence Prevention
National Center for Injury Prevention and Control
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
Atlanta, Georgia

Deborah Rohm Young, Ph.D.

“Community-Based Interventions for Increasing Physical Activity”
Assistant Professor
Johns Hopkins Center for Health Promotion
Johns Hopkins University
School of Medicine
Baltimore, Maryland

Robert S. Schwartz, M.D.

“Physical Activity, Insulin Resistance, and Diabetes”
Professor of Medicine
Division of Gerontology and Geriatric Medicine
Department of Internal Medicine
Harborview Medical Center
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington

Roy J. Shephard, M.D., Ph.D., D.P.E.

“Physiological Responses to Structured Versus Lifestyle Activities”
Professor Emeritus of Applied Physiology
Department of Preventive Medicine and Biostatistics
School of Physical and Health Education
University of Toronto
Health Studies Programme
Brock University
Toronto, Ontario, Canada

L. Kent Smith, M.D., M.P.H.

“Agency for Health Care Policy
and Research Clinical
Practice Guideline:
Cardiac Rehabilitation
as Secondary Prevention”
Medical Director
Preventive Medicine Program/
Ambulatory Drug Research
Department of Cardiology
Arizona Heart Institute
Phoenix, Arizona

Marcia L. Stefanick, Ph.D.

“Physical Activity and Lipid
Metabolism”
Senior Research Scientist
Stanford Center for Research
in Disease Prevention
Department of Medicine
Stanford University School
of Medicine
Palo Alto, California

Paul D. Thompson, M.D.

“Are There Cardiovascular
Risks Associated With
Physical Activity?”
Professor of Medicine
Division of Cardiology
Department of Medicine
University of Pittsburgh
Medical Center
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

Planning Committee

Karen A. Donato, M.S., R.D.

Chairperson
*Coordinator, NHLBI Obesity
Education Initiative*
Office of Prevention, Education,
and Control
National Heart, Lung, and
Blood Institute
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

Anne Bavier, M.N.

*Deputy Director for Research
on Women’s Health*
Office of Research on
Women’s Health
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

Steven N. Blair, P.E.D.

Director of Research
*Director, Epidemiology and
Clinical Applications*
Cooper Institute for Aerobics
Research
Dallas, Texas

Thomas J. Doubt, Ph.D.

Scientific Group Leader
Division of Heart and
Vascular Diseases
National Heart, Lung, and
Blood Institute
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

Chhanda Dutta, Ph.D.

*Director of Musculoskeletal
Research*
Geriatrics Program
National Institute on Aging
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

Jerry M. Elliott

Program Analyst
Office of Medical Applications
of Research
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

John H. Ferguson, M.D.

Director
Office of Medical Applications
of Research
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

Gilman D. Grave, M.D.

*Chief, Endocrinology, Nutrition,
and Growth Branch*
Center for Research for Mothers
and Children
National Institute of Child Health
and Human Development
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

William H. Hall

Director of Communications
Office of Medical Applications
of Research
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

Stephen P. Heyse, M.D., M.P.H.

*Director, Office of Prevention,
Epidemiology, and Clinical
Applications*
National Institute of Arthritis
and Musculoskeletal and
Skin Diseases
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

Van S. Hubbard, M.D., Ph.D.

*Chief, Nutritional Sciences
Branch*
*Director, Division of Nutrition
Research Coordination*
National Institute of Diabetes
and Digestive and Kidney
Diseases
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

John T. Kalberer, Ph.D.

*NIH Coordinator for Disease
Prevention*
Office of the Director
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

James Kiley, Ph.D.

*Director, Airway Biology and
Disease Program*
Division of Lung Diseases
National Heart, Lung, and
Blood Institute
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

Russell V. Luepker, M.D.

*Conference and Panel
Chairperson*
Professor and Head
Division of Epidemiology
School of Public Health
University of Minnesota
Minneapolis, Minnesota

**Gregory J. Morosco, Ph.D.,
M.P.H.**

*Associate Director for
Prevention, Education,
and Control*
National Heart, Lung, and
Blood Institute
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

Eva Obarzanek, Ph.D., R.D.

*Nutritionist, Prevention
Scientific Research Group*
Division of Epidemiology and
Clinical Applications
National Heart, Lung, and
Blood Institute
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

**Ralph S. Paffenbarger, Jr., M.D.,
F.A.C.S.M.**

*Professor of Epidemiology,
Emeritus*
Division of Epidemiology
Department of Health Research
and Policy
Stanford University
School of Medicine
Stanford, California

Russell R. Pate, Ph.D.

*Chairman, Department of
Exercise Science*
School of Public Health
University of South Carolina
Columbia, South Carolina

Debra Rothstein, Ph.D.

Senior Prevention Policy Advisor
Office of Disease Prevention
and Health Promotion
Washington, District of Columbia

Hilary D. Sigmon, Ph.D.

Physiologist and Nurse Scientist
Acute and Chronic Illness
Branch
Division of Extramural Programs
National Institute of Nursing
Research
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

**Denise Simons-Morton, M.D.,
Ph.D.**

*Leader, Prevention Scientific
Research Group*
Division of Epidemiology and
Clinical Applications
National Heart, Lung, and
Blood Institute
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

Christine G. Spain, M.A.

*Director, Research, Planning,
and Special Projects*
President's Council on Physical
Fitness and Sports
Washington, District of Columbia

Marjorie Speers, Ph.D.

*Director, Division of Chronic
Disease Control and
Community Intervention*
Centers for Disease Control
and Prevention
Atlanta, Georgia

K. Susanne Strickland, M.S., R.D.

*Director, Worksite Health
Promotion Program*
Office of Disease Prevention
Office of the Director
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

Louise Williams, M.A., M.S.J.

Writer/Editor
Office of Prevention, Education,
and Control
National Heart, Lung, and
Blood Institute
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

Stephen C. Wood, Ph.D.

Professor and Chairman
Department of Physiology
East Carolina University
School of Medicine
Greenville, North Carolina

Conference Sponsors

Office of Medical Applications of Research, NIH

John H. Ferguson, M.D.
Director

National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute

Claude Lenfant, M.D.
Director

Conference Cosponsors

National Institute of Child Health and Human Development

Duane F. Alexander, M.D.
Director

National Institute on Aging

Richard J. Hodes, M.D.
Director

National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases

Stephen I. Katz, M.D., Ph.D.
Director

National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases

Phillip Gordon, M.D.
Director

National Institute of Nursing Research

Patricia A. Grady, R.N., Ph.D.
Director

Office of Research on Women's Health, NIH

Vivian W. Pinn, M.D.
Director

Office of Disease Prevention, NIH

William R. Harlan, M.D.
Associate Director

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

David Satcher, M.D., Ph.D.
Director

The President's Council on Physical Fitness and Sports

Sandra Perlmutter
Executive Director

Bibliography

The following references were provided by the speakers listed above and were neither reviewed nor approved by the panel.

Ades P. Decreased medical costs after cardiac rehabilitation. A case for universal reimbursement. *J Cardiopulmonary Rehabil* 1993;13:75–7.

Airhihenuwa CO, Kumanyika S, Agurs TD, Lowe A. Perceptions and beliefs about exercise, rest, and health among African-Americans. *Am J Health Prom* 1995; 9:426–9.

American College of Sports Medicine position stand: exercise for patients with coronary artery disease. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1994; 26:i–v.

Balady GJ, Fletcher BJ, Froelicher ES et al. Cardiac rehabilitation programs. A statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 1994;90:1602–10.

Berlin JA, Colditz GA. A meta analysis of physical activity in the prevention of coronary heart disease. *Am J Epidemiol* 1990;132:612–28.

Blair SN, Kohl HW III, Barlow CE, Paffenbarger RS Jr, Gibbons LW, Macera CA. Changes in physical fitness and all-cause mortality. *JAMA* 1995;273:1093–8.

Blair SN, Kohl HW III, Gordon NF, Paffenbarger RS Jr. How much physical activity is good for health? *Ann Rev Public Health* 1992; 13:99–126.

Blair SN, Kohl HW III, Paffenbarger RS Jr, Clark DG, Cooper KH, Gibbons LW. Physical fitness and all-cause mortality: a prospective study of healthy men and women. *JAMA* 1989;262:2395–401.

Bouchard C, Shepard RJ, Stephens T, eds. Physical activity, fitness, and health. International proceedings and consensus statement. Champaign (IL): Human Kinetics, 1994:1055.

Calfas K, Long BJ, Sallis JF, Wooten WJ, Pratt M, Patrick K. A controlled trial of physician counseling to promote the adoption of physical activity. *Prev Med* (in press).

Caspersen CJ. Physical activity epidemiology: concepts, methods and applications to exercise science. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev* 1989;17:423–73.

Caspersen CJ. What are the lessons from the U.S. approach to setting targets? In: Killoran AJ, Fentem P, Caspersen CJ, editors. Moving on: international perspectives on promoting physical activity. London: Health Education Authority, 1994:35–55.

Caspersen CJ, Merritt RK. Trends in physical activity participation among 26 states, 1986–1990. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1995;27(5): 713–20.

Caspersen CJ, Merritt RK, Stephens T. International physical activity patterns: a methodological perspective. In: Dishman RK, editor. *Advances in exercise adherence*. Champaign (IL): Human Kinetics, 1994:71–108.

Cunningham DA, Rechnitzer PA, Howard JH, Donner AP. Exercise training of men at retirement: a clinical trial. *J Gerontol* 1987;42:17–23.

DeBusk RF, Houston Miller N, Superko HR, Dennis CA, Thomas RJ, Lew HT, Berger WE, Heller RS, Rompf J, Gee D et al. A case-management system for coronary risk factor modification after acute myocardial infarction. *Ann Intern Med* 1994;120:721–9.

DiPietro L, Williamson DF, Caspersen CJ et al. The descriptive epidemiology of selected physical activities and body weight among adults trying to lose weight: the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System survey, 1989. *Int J Obes* 1993a;17:69–76.

Dishman RK. Determinants of participation in physical activity. In Bouchard C, Shepard RJ, Stephens T, Sutton JR, McPherson BD, editors. *Exercise, fitness and health*. Champaign (IL): Human Kinetics, 1990:75–102.

Dishman RK, Sallis JF. Determinants and interventions for physical activity and exercise. In: Bouchard C, Shepard RJ, Stephens T, editors. *Physical activity, fitness, and health*. Champaign (IL): Human Kinetics, 1994:214–38.

Fiatarone MA, O'Neill EF, Ruan ND, Clements KM, Solares GR, Nelson ME et al. Exercise training and nutritional supplementation for physical frailty in very elderly people. *N Engl J Med* 1994;330:1769–75.

Fletcher GF, ed. *Cardiovascular response to exercise*. Mount Kisco (NY): Futura, 1994:446.

Franklin BA, Gordon S, Timmis GC. Amount of exercise necessary for the patient with coronary artery disease. *Am J Cardiol* 1992;69:1426–31.

Haskell WL. Health consequences of physical activity: understanding and challenges regarding dose-response. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1994;26:649–60.

Haskell WL, Alderman EL, Fair JM, Maron DJ, Mackey SF, Superko HR, Williams PT, Johnstone IM, Champagne ME, Krauss RM et al. Effects of intensive multiple risk factor reduction on coronary atherosclerosis and clinical cardiac events in men and women with coronary artery disease: the Stanford Coronary Risk Intervention Project (SCRIP). *Circulation* 1994;89:975–90.

Heirich MA, Foote A, Erfurt JC, Konopka B. Work-site physical fitness programs. Comparing the impact of different program designs on cardiovascular risks. *J Occup Med* 1993;35:510–17.

Hill JO, Drougas H, Peters JC. Physical activity and moderate obesity. In: Bouchard C, Shepard R, Stephens T, editors. Physical activity, fitness, and health. Toronto: Human Kinetics, 1993.

Hill JO, Pagliassotti MJ, Peters JC. Nongenetic determinants of obesity and fat topography. In: Bouchard C, editor. Genetic determinants of obesity. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 1994:35–48.

Kark JA, Posey DM, Schumacher HR, Ruele CJ. Sickle-cell trait as a risk factor for sudden death in physical training. *N Engl J Med* 1987;317 (13):781–7.

Kellie SE, Griffith H. Emerging trends in assessing performance and managing in health care: expectations for implementing preventive services. *Am J Prev Med* (in press).

King AC, Blair SN, Bild D et al. Determinants of physical activity and interventions in adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1992;24 (suppl):S221–36.

King A, Haskell WL, Taylor B et al. Group- vs home-based exercise training in healthy older men and women. A community-based clinical trial. *JAMA* 1991;266:1535–42.

Kohl HW III, McKenzie JD. Physical activity physical fitness and stroke. In: Bouchard C, Shepard RJ, Stevens T, editors. Physical activity, fitness, and health: international proceedings and consensus statement. Champaign (IL): Human Kinetics, 1994:609–21.

Kumanyika SK, Charleston JB. Lose weight and win: a church-based weight loss program for blood pressure control among black women. *Patient Education and Counseling* 1992;19:19–32.

Kumanyika SK, Morssink C, Agurs T. Models for dietary and weight change in African-American women: identifying cultural components. *Ethnicity & Disease* 1992;2:166–75.

Kumanyika SK, Obarzanek E, Stevens VJ, Hebert PR, Whelton PK. Weight-loss experience of black and white participants in NHLBI-sponsored clinical trials. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1991;53:1631S–8S.

Lakka TA, Venalainen JM, Rauramaa R, Salonen R, Tuomilehto J, Salonen JT. Relation of leisure-time physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness to the risk of acute myocardial infarction in men. *N Engl J Med* 1994;330:1549–54.

Lee IM, Hsieh CC, Paffenbarger RS Jr. Exercise intensity and longevity in men: the Harvard Alumni Health study. *JAMA* 1995;273:1179–84.

Leon AS, Connett J, Jacobs DR Jr, Rauramaa R. Leisure-time physical activity and risk of coronary heart disease and death: the Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial. *JAMA* 1987;285:2388–95.

Lewis BS, Lynch WD. The effect of physician advice on exercise behavior. *Prev Med* 1993;22:110–21.

Lewis CE, Raczynski JM, Heath GW, Levinson R, Hilyer JC Jr, Cutter GR. Promoting physical activity in low-income African-American communities. The PARR Project. *Ethnicity Dis* 1993;3:106–18.

Logsdon DN, Lazaro MA, Meir RV. The feasibility of behavioral risk reduction in primary medical care. *Am J Prev Med* 1989;5:249–56.

Marcus BH, Dubbert PM, King AC, Pinto BM. Physical activity in women: current status and future directions. In: Stanton AL, Gallant SJ, editors. *The psychology of women's health: progress and challenges in research and application*. Washington (DC): American Psychological Association, 1995:349–79.

Martin JE, Dubbert PM. Exercise applications and promotion in behavioral medicine: current status and future directions. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 1982;30:1004–17.

Miller NH, Haskell WL, Berra K, DeBusk RF. Home versus group exercise training for increasing functional capacity after myocardial infarction. *Circulation* 1984;70:645–9.

Morris JN, Clayton DG, Everitt MG, Semmence AM, Burgess EH. Exercise in leisure time: coronary attack and death rates. *Br Heart J* 1990;63:325–34.

Oja P, Mänttari A, Nieminen R, Kukkonen-Harjula K, Vuori I, Pasanen M. Effects of walking and cycling to and from work on physical fitness. In: *International conference on exercise, fitness and health*. Toronto: Poster Session, 1988.

Oldridge N, Furlong W, Feeny D et al. Economic evaluation of cardiac rehabilitation soon after acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol* 1993;72:154–61.

Oldridge N, Guyatt G, Jones N et al. Effects on quality of life with comprehensive rehabilitation after acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol* 1991;67:1084–9.

Owen N, Bauman A, Booth M, Oldenburg B, Magnus P. Serial mass-media campaigns to promote physical activity: reinforcing or redundant? *Am J Public Health* 1995;85:244–8.

Paffenbarger RS Jr. Energy imbalance and hypertension risk. In: White PL, Mondeika T, editors. *Diet and exercise: synergism in health maintenance*. Chicago: American Medical Association, 1982:115–25.

Paffenbarger RS Jr, Hyde RT, Wing AL, Lee I, Jung DL, Kampert JB. The association of changes in physical-activity level and other lifestyle characteristics with mortality among men. *N Engl J Med* 1993;328:538–45.

Paffenbarger RS Jr, Wing AL, Steinmetz CH. A natural history of athleticism and cardiovascular health. *JAMA* 1984;252:491–5.

Pate RF, Lung BJ, Health G. Descriptive epidemiology of physical activity in adolescents. *Ped Exerc Sci* 1994;6:434–47.

Pate RR, Pratt M, Blair SN, Haskell WL, Macera AA, Bouchard C, Buchner D, Ettinger W, Health GW, King A, Kriska A, Leon AS, Marcus BH, Morris J, Paffenbarger RS Jr, Patrick K, Pollock ML, Rippe JM, Sallis J, Wilmore JH. Physical activity and public health. A recommendation from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the American College of Sports Medicine. *JAMA* 1995;273:402–7.

Powell KE, Thompson PD, Caspersen CJ, Kendrick JS. Physical activity and the incidence of coronary heart disease. *Ann Rev Public Health* 1987;8:253–87.

Province MA, Hadley EC, Hornbrook MC, Lipsitz LA, Miller JP, Mulrow CD et al. The effects of exercise on falls in elderly patients. A preplanned meta-analysis of the FICSIT trials. *JAMA* 1995;273:134–7.

Roman O, Camuzzi AL, Villalon E, Klenner C. Physical training program in arterial hypertension: a long-term prospective follow-up. *Cardiology* 1981;67:230–43.

Sallis JF, Haskell WL, Fortmann SP et al. Predictors of adoption and maintenance of physical activity in a community sample. *Prev Med* 1986;15:331–41.

Sallis JF, Patrick K. Physical activity guidelines for adolescents. Consensus statement. *Ped Exerc Sci* 1994;6:302–14.

Sallis JF, Simons-Morton BG, Stone EJ, Corbin CB, Epstein LH, Faucette N, Mannotti RJ, Killen JD, Klesges RC, Petry CK, Rowland TW, Taylor WC. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1992;24(6 Suppl):S248–57.

Shepard RJ, Bouchard C. Population evaluations of health related fitness from perceptions of physical activity and fitness. *Can J Appl Physiol* 1994;19:151–73.

Simons-Morton BG, Parcel GS, Baranowski T, Forthofer R, O'Hara NM. Promoting physical activity and a healthful diet among children: results of a school-based intervention study. *Am J Public Health* 1991;81:986–91.

Simonsick EM, Lafferty ME, Phillips CL, Mendes de Leon CF, Kasl SV, Seeman TE et al. Risk due to inactivity in physically capable older adults. *Am J Public Health* 1993;83:1443–50.

Slattery ML, Jacobs DR Jr, Nichaman MZ. Leisure time physical activity and coronary heart disease death: the US Railroad Study. *Circulation* 1989;79:304–11.

Stamler R, Stamler J, Gosch FC, Civinelli J, Fishman A, MacDonald A, Dyer AR. Primary prevention of hypertension by nutritional-hygienic means: final report of a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 1989;262:1801–7.

Thomas RJ, Houston Miller N, Lamendola C, Berra K, Hedback B, Durstine L, Haskell W. National survey on gender differences in cardiac rehabilitation: patient characteristics and enrollment patterns (submitted for publication).

Thompson PD. Athletes, athletics, and sudden cardiac death. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1993; May:981–4.

Thompson PD, Funk EJ, Carleton RA, Sturner WQ. Incidence of death during jogging in Rhode Island from 1975 through 1980. *JAMA* 1982;247 (18):2535–8.

Van Camp SP, Bloor CM, Mueller FO, Canto RC, Olson HG. Report of the National Center for Catastrophic Sports Injury Research: Nontraumatic sports death in high school and college athletes. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1995;27 (5):641–7.

Wenger NK, Froelicher ES, Smith LK et al. Cardiac rehabilitation as secondary prevention. Clinical Practice Guideline, Quick Reference Guide for Clinicians, No. 17. Rockville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Agency for Health Care Policy and Research and the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. AHCPR Publication No. 96-0673, October 1995.

Wenger NK, Froelicher ES, Smith LK et al. Cardiac rehabilitation. Clinical Practice Guideline No. 17. Rockville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Agency for Health Care Policy and Research and the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. AHCPR Publication No. 96-0672, October 1995.

Williamson DF, Madans J, Anda RF, Kleinman JC, Kahn HS, Byers T. Recreational physical activity and ten-year weight change in a US national cohort. *Int J Obes* 1993;17:279–86.

Young DR, Haskell WL, Taylor CB, Fortmann SP. Effect of community health education on physical activity knowledge, attitudes, and behavior: the Stanford Five-City Project. Under review.

PHYSICAL ACTIVITY AND CARDIOVASCULAR HEALTH

*A Continuing Medical Education Activity Sponsored by
the National Institutes of Health*

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this NIH Consensus Statement is to inform the biomedical research and clinical practice communities of the results of the NIH Consensus Development Conference on Physical Activity and Cardiovascular Health. The statement provides state-of-the-art information regarding the role, benefits, and risks of physical activity in the prevention of cardiovascular disease, and presents the conclusions and recommendations of the consensus panel regarding these issues. In addition, the statement identifies those areas of study that deserve further investigation. Upon completing this educational activity, the reader should possess a clear working clinical knowledge of the state-of-the-art regarding this topic.

ACCREDITATION

The National Institutes of Health is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education to sponsor continuing medical education for physicians. The National Institutes of Health designates this continuing medical education activity for 1 credit hour in Category I of the Physician's Recognition Award of the American Medical Association. Each physician should claim only those hours of credit that he/she actually spent in the educational activity.

EXPIRATION

This form must be completed and postmarked by **December 31, 1997**, for eligibility to receive continuing medical education credit for this continuing medical education activity. The expiration date for this test may be extended beyond December 31, 1997. Beginning January 1, 1998, please check the NIH Consensus Development Program web site (<http://consensus.nih.gov>) or call the NIH Office of Medical Applications of Research at 301-496-1144 for information regarding an extended expiration date for this continuing medical education activity.

INSTRUCTIONS

The statement contains the correct answers to the following 15 questions. Select your answer(s) to each question and write the corresponding letter(s) in the answer space provided. Mail the completed test by the expiration date shown above to the address at the end of this test. You will receive notification of your test results within 2 to 3 weeks. If you have successfully completed the test (11 or more correct answers), you will receive a certificate for 1 hour of CME credit along with your test results. Photocopies of this form are acceptable. There is no fee for participating in this continuing education activity.



National Institutes of Health

Continuing Medical Education

1. Which of the following statements best defines physical activity?
 - a. Physical activity is any type of bodily motion.
 - b. Physical activity is a structured and repetitive bodily motion done to improve physical fitness.
 - c. Physical activity is bodily motion produced by skeletal muscles that results in energy expenditure and health benefits.
 - d. Physical activity is not related to physical fitness.

ANSWER _____

2. Physical inactivity is a major risk factor for cardiovascular disease.
 - a. true
 - b. false

ANSWER _____

3. In the U.S., the number of adults reporting no leisure time physical activity is about:
 - a. one out of three adults (more men than women)
 - b. two out of three adults (more women than men)
 - c. one out of four adults (more men than women)
 - d. one out of four adults (more women than men)

ANSWER _____

4. Physical inactivity is associated with the following cardiovascular risks:
(*You must indicate all that are true.*)
 - a. elevated blood pressure
 - b. increase in visceral fat
 - c. elevated high-density cholesterol levels
 - d. diabetes

ANSWER(S) _____

5. There is no relationship between being obese as a child and obesity as an adult.
 - a. true
 - b. false

ANSWER _____

6. In order to confer health benefits, physical activity must be at a minimum:
 - a. vigorous and performed at least 30 minutes 2-3 days/week
 - b. moderate-intensity and performed at least 30 minutes daily
 - c. moderate-intensity and performed at least 10 minutes daily
 - d. vigorous and performed at least 30 minutes daily

ANSWER _____

7. The risks associated with moderate-intensity physical activity are said to be:
(*You must indicate all that are true.*)
 - a. low, and, therefore, most adults do not need a medical evaluation before engaging in moderate-intensity physical activity
 - b. high, and, therefore, all adult men over 40 and women over 50 should have a medical evaluation
 - c. primarily, myocardial infarction or even sudden cardiac death
 - d. primarily, musculoskeletal in nature and mild

ANSWER(S) _____

14. Schools should provide opportunities for physical activity that: (*You must indicate all that are true.*)

- a. are available at least three times per week
- b. are competitive in nature and promote a team spirit
- c. are equally relevant to both girls and boys
- d. take into account the students' skill level

ANSWER(S) _____

15. Encouraging physical activity at schools, worksites, or in communities, etc., is best accomplished if:

- a. mass education and communication strategies are employed
- b. policy level interventions are implemented
- c. community support is secured
- d. all of the above

ANSWER _____

Your response to the following two questions is optional and will have no effect on the grading results of this test.

Was the objective of this continuing education activity clearly stated?

- a. not at all
- b. very little
- c. somewhat
- d. considerably
- e. completely

ANSWER

Did the activity planners provide the necessary information to meet the stated goals and objectives?

- a. not at all
- b. very little
- c. somewhat
- d. considerably
- e. completely

ANSWER _____

NAME (*Please type or print clearly*)

TITLE

ADDRESS

CITY

STATE

ZIP

PHONE

FAX

*Please mail test to: CME Program
Office of Medical Applications of Research
National Institutes of Health
Federal Building, Room 618
7550 Wisconsin Avenue MSC9120
Bethesda, MD 20892-9120*



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
AND HUMAN SERVICES
Public Health Service
National Institutes of Health
Office of Medical Applications of Research
Federal Building, Room 618 MSC9120
Bethesda, MD 20892-9120

Official Business
Penalty for private use \$300

BULK RATE
Postage & Fees
PAID
DHHS/NIH
Permit No. G763